

Coventry Coil-o-Matic(Haryana)Ltd.

Regd.office: 87km NH-8, Vill. Salawas, P.O. Sangwari, Distt. Rewari-123 401 (Haryana)

Ref.: CCHL/2023-2024/

10<sup>th</sup>February 2024

Scrip Code and Name: 523415/COVEN COILOM

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATE SERVICES, THE MUMBAI STOCK EXCHANGE, PHIROZEJEEJEEBHOYTOWERS, DALAL STREET, MUMBAI – 400 001

## Sub :Unaudited Financial Results as on 31st December 2023

Dear Sir,

As per requirement of regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations 2015, kindly find enclosed herewith Un-audited Financial Results for the 3<sup>rd</sup>Quarter ended 31<sup>st</sup> December 2023 which were approved at the Meeting of Board of Directors held today on 10<sup>th</sup>February 2024 at 12.30 pm at the registered office of the Company.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

For Coventry Coil-o-Matic (Haryana) Ltd.

(R. M.<sup>1</sup>Bafna) Managing Director Compliance Officer

Encl. : As above

🕿 (+91) 9896033299

Website:www.coilomatic.com CIN:L74999HR1988PLC030370 email:info@coilomatic.com

## JKVS&CO. CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Independent Auditor's Review Report on Quarterly and Nine months ended Unaudited Financial Results Pursuant to the Regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and **Disclosure Requirements) Regulation 2015, as amended** 

## To the Board of Directors of Coventry Coil-O-Matic (Haryana) Limited

- 1. We have reviewed the accompanying statement of unaudited financial results ("Statement") of Coventry Coil-O-Matic (Haryana) Limited ('the Company") for the guarter and nine months ended December 31, 2023. This Statement is the responsibility of the Company's Management and has been approved by the Board of Directors. Our responsibility is to issue a report on the statement based on our review.
- 2. We conducted our review in accordance with the Standard on Review Engagement (SRE) 2410, "Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by the Independent Auditor of the Entity", issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India. This standard requires that we plan and perform the review to obtain moderate assurance as to whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. A review is limited primarily to inquiries of company personnel and analytical procedures applied to financial data and thus provides less assurance than an audit. We have not performed an audit and accordingly, we do not express an audit opinion.
- 3. Based on our review conducted as above, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the accompanying statement of unaudited financial results read with notes therein prepared in all material respects in accordance with applicable accounting standards and other recognized accounting practices and policies has not disclosed the information required to be disclosed in terms of Regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, as amended, including the manner in which it is to be disclosed, or that it contains any material misstatement subject to:
  - i. Going Concern Assumption may no longer be appropriate- As the Company has incurred significant operating losses, negative operating cash flow, negative working capital, adjudication of legal process against the company for loan liability, Notice of Recovery Officer-II of DRT-1, Delhi for settling sale proclamation for the sale of the factory property (Refer Note 2(m)) and negative net worth indicating that going concern assumption is no longer be appropriate. However, the management is continuing with the operations, therefore the accounts have been prepared on basis of going concern assumption. Consequently, adjustment for amount of assets and classification of liabilities required to be recorded has not been carried out.
  - Note No. (2 K) of the statement of unaudited Financial Result for demand pronounced ii. by DRT-I on 18-01-2016 of Rs. 8449.39 Lakhs together with Simple interest of 13.5% P.A. from 14-05-2007 which amounts to Rs. 26,575.06 Lakhs as on 31st March'23 and Rs. 27,434.47 Lakhs till 31st December'23 (Gross Value before adjusting repayment through Cash and Land) related to liability of IFCI debt. Other liability of IDBI are yet to

Page 1 of 2



## JKVS&CO.

be ordered by Courts. Liability provided in the book against these are only of Rs. 393.59 lakhs, non-provision of Rs. 27,746.21 Lakhs.

- iii. As per note 3 of the statement of unaudited Financial Result, the company had to give physical possession of a part of land comprising of approx. 10 acres whose approx. cost appearing in books is Rs. 12.02 Lakhs, to Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd., assignees of IDBI & IFCI (Financial Institution) on 8th March 2013 as per the direction of Honourable Supreme Court who re-affirmed the interim orders of Honourable Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh of 9th August 2011. The land is sold by Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. at Rs. 1350 lakhs. The company is not made accounting entries on transfer of such lands and tax thereon if any.
- iv. Company have not worked out and provided for the Interest and penalty which will arise due to long outstanding of statutory dues and non-filing of statutory returns in time.
- v. Account Reconciliation/Confirmation in respect of certain accounts of Debtors and creditors have not been received and they are subject to confirmations and reconciliation.

Impact with respect to (i), (iii), (iv) and (v) above are presently not ascertainable and as such can not be commented upon by us.

Based on our review conducted as stated above, we report that based on the matters stated above, the accompanying statement of the Results, are not prepared in accordance with the recognition and measurement principal laid down in the applicable Indian Accounting Standard as prescribed under section 133 of the companies Act read with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015, as amended, ("Ind AS") and other accounting principles generally accepted in India, has not disclosed the information required to be disclosed in terms of the Regulation, read with the Circular, including the manner in which it is to be disclosed, or that it contains any material misstatement

For J K V S & Co., Chartered Accountants Firm Registration No. 318086E

HI Solution Sajal Goyal Partner Membership No. 523903 UDIN: 24523903BKDIAL7456

Place: Delhi Date: February 10, 2024 COVENTRY COIL-O-MATIC (HARYANA) LIMITED Regd office : Vill. Salawas, P.O. Sangwari, Distt Rewari - 123401

Email : info@coilomatic.com Ph. 9896033299

CIN. No. L74999HR1988PLC030370

Statement of Unaudited Financial Results

For the Quarter and Nine Month Ended 31st December, 2023

	(Rupees in Lakhs)										
S.No.	. PARTICULARS		Quarter Ended		Year Ended						
	No. of Months	3	3	3	9	9	12				
	Period Ending	31-Dec-2023	30-Sep-2023		31-Dec-2023	31-Dec-2022					
	Туре	Unaudited	Unaudited	Unaudited	Unaudited	Unaudited	Audited				
1	Revenue form Operations	2,277.81	1,970.64	1,843.58	6,322.67	5,681.03	7,677.50				
2	Other Income	25.65	2.51	0.08	28.16	5.54	7.64				
3	Total income (1+2)	2,303.46	1,973.15	1,843.66	6,350.83	5,686.57	7,685.15				
4	Expenses										
	a) Cost of materials consumed	1,108.07	1,098.13	999.38	3,296.30	3,071.95	4,224.41				
	b) Purchases of Stock -in- Trade	372.18	375.63	200.21	1,077.31	603.52	797.93				
	c) Changes in inventories of finished goods, work-in-progress and Stock-in- Trade	86.93	(149.42)	(25.62)	(55.08)		(88.11				
	d) Employee benefits expenses	247.99	200.97	215.45	660.14	585.57	797.45				
	e) Power & Fuel Consumed	120.94	133.02	95.90	373.36	314.88	407.79				
	f) Finance costs	20.62	21.09	21.49	59.76	56.98	76.76				
	g) Depreciation and amortization expenses	20.86	18.45	10.93	57.41	34.61	51.51				
	h) Other Expenses	382.05	386.80	295.73	1,097.78	965.10	1,391.96				
	Total Expenses	2,359.64	2,084.66	1,813.47	6,566.98	5,643.46	7,659.68				
5	Profit before Exceptional Items & Tax (3-4)	(56.18)	(111.51)	30.19	(216.15)	43.11	25.46				
	Expceptional Items	-	-	-	-	-	-				
6	Profit before Tax	(56.18)	(111.51)	30.19	(216.15)	43.11	25.46				
7	Tax Expense (Net)										
×	a) Current Tax	-	-	-			-				
	b) Deffered Tax	-	-	-	-	-	-				
8	Net Profit for the period (6-7)	(56.18)	(111.51)	30.19	(216.15)	43.11	25.46				
9	Other Comprehensive Income (OCI)	/	·								
<b>`</b>	a) Items that will not be reclassified to Profit or loss (Net of Tax)	-	-	_	-	-	0.89				
	b) Items that will be reclassified to Profit or loss (Net of Tax)	-	-	-	-	-	-				
10	Total Comprehensive Income	(56.18)	(111.51)	30.19	(216.15)	43.11	26.3				
11	Paid up Equity Share Capital(Face Value of the share Rs. 10/- each)	450.80	450.80	450.80	450.80	450.80	450.80				
12	Earning Per Share of (before & after extraordinary items)										
12	Rs 10 each (not annualised)										
	a) Basic (Rs.)	(1.25)	(2.47)	0.67	(4.79)	0.96	0.50				
	b) Diluted (Rs.)	(1.25)			(4.79)		0.50				
		(	1			(a.MA)					

'SALAWAS NTRY, REWARI (HR.)

	Notes :-
	The above results were reviewed by the Audit Committee and approved by the Board of Directors in their respective meetings held on 10th February, 2024. The Limited
	Review for the Quarter and Nine month ended 31st December, 2023 has been carried out by the Statutory Auditors, as required under Regulation 33 of SEBI (LODR)
	Regulation, 2015 as amended.
	Notes to Financial Statements for the Year ended 31st December, 2023. Secured Ioan:
a.	The Company is contesting in various Courts and Tribunals the exaggerated claims by the assignees of the various Term Loans availed between 1990 and 1992 from a consortium of 3 Financial Institutions (FIs) namely ICICI, IDBI and IFCI.
b.	The Company availed Term Loans of Rs. 925.67 lakhs between 1990 and 1992 from the consortium, with ICICI as the lead Institution. However, the total amount so received was only Rs. 894.03 lakhs and the balance was adjusted against Interest payable. Due to recession in the market and accumulated losses which were partly occasioned by high interest rates and partly by time over-run and cost over-run, and despite best efforts of the promoters and the management, the Company turned into a sick company and was referred to the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) vide Reference Case No.197/97.
C.	A Rehabilitation Scheme/Package formulated and agreed upon by all the FIs was approved by the BIFR on 27-12-1999 wherein the dues were freshly determined and fixed as Rs. 1178 lakhs to be paid by 31-03-2002.
	The Net Worth of the Company turned positive and after considering the Balance Sheet for the year ended on 31st March 2000, the BIFR closed the reference case on 04-10-2001.
d.	As per the package, one of the sources of finance to repay the FIs was Working Capital facilities. Since the Company was under RBI's defaulters list, no banks were willing to extend the required working capital limits. This was duly brought to the notice of the BIFR. However, despite the best efforts, the Company could not arrange the working capital limits to the Financial Institutions.
e.	In all, however, over the years, the Company made a total repayment of Rs. 1286.77 lakhs out of which Rs. 1101.89 lakhs was made under/after the BIFR Scheme to the consortium members. The major portion of this was paid to the lead institution, ICICI, as part of approval for the BIFR Rehabilitation Scheme/Package. As the Company did not have the details of amounts adjusted by and amongst members of the Consortium out of the various repayments made by it and since, ICICI (Later assigned to KMBL) had filed a winding-up petition in the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh on 08-10-2004 for recovery of Rs. 329.93 lakhs, the Company, after October 2005, (latter assigned to KMBL) preferred not to make any further payments to the FIs since the matter was sub-judice.
f.	In 2005, the RBI released a Scheme / Guidelines for One-Time Settlement of loan accounts of Small and Medium Companies. Since the Company fulfilled the criteria for availing the benefits under the said Scheme, which is binding upon the Banks and FIs, the Company re-calculated the payments made under the said Scheme, and after adjusting the amounts already paid to the consortium members, the dues towards the consortium came out to be Rs. 2.62 lakhs only.
	The Company accordingly made an application under the OTS Scheme to the FIs before the deadline of end March 2006 and offered to pay the said amount of Rs. 2.62 lakhs.
g.	However, the Financial Institutions did not settle the Company's matter under RBI's OTS Guidelines and demanded unreasonably high amounts.
	In the meanwhile, ICICI assigned its debts to Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. (KMBL) on 25-04-2005, and both IFCI and IDBI assigned their debts to Dhir & Dhir Asset Reconstruction and Securitisation Company Ltd. (now known as Alchemist Assets Reconstruction Company Ltd. (AARCL)) on 05-03-2008 for Rs. 304 lakhs and 12-08- 2008 for Rs. 411.50 lakhs respectively.
	Thereafter, the assignees filed various Applications under section 19 of The Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act, 1993 for recovery of debts as follows:
	• In DRT-II, New Delhi by KMBL on 23-01-2007 for recovery of Rs. 472.07 lakhs.
	• In DRT-I, New Delhi by AARCL on 11-12-2008 for recovery of Rs. 8449.39 lakhs plus interest for dues calculated on the IFCI debts.
	In DRT-I, New Delhi by AARCL on 21-05-2012 for recovery of Rs. 9315.19 lakhs for dues calculated on the IDBI debts.
h	The Company has also filed counter claims of more than Rs. 50000 lakhs on both KMBL and AARCL.
	The final adjudication of the debt liability is yet to be completed by higher forums.
	AARCL (formerly D&DARSCL) also issued notice on 12-12-2008 under Section 13(2) of The Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of
	Security Interest Act (SARFAESI), 2002 demanding an amount of Rs. 14446.60 lakhs against dues calculated on both IFCI and IDBI debts. With an application filed on 25 07-2009 u/s 14 of the SARFAESI Act with Dy. Commissioner, Rewari, they made an attempt to take possession of the Company's Assets. However, with timely actions,
	the Company obtained from the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh Stay Order against any coercive action, if any, taken under SARFAESI Act for taking
	over the possession of the property in dispute.
	SALAWAS REWARI (HR.)

\*

i.	The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh had through interim orders dated 21-01-2011 and 11-03-2011 directed the Company to deposit a sum of Rs. 500 lakhs in the High Court, which the Company complied with.
	As per further directions of the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 09-08-2011 the sum of Rs. 500 lakhs was appropriated as follows:
	Rs. 300 lakhs to Alchemist Assets Reconstruction Company Ltd., and
	<ul> <li>Rs. 200 lakhs to Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.</li> <li>Apart from the aforesaid payment of Rs. 500 lakhs, the assignees were also given the liberty by Division Bench of Hon'ble Punjab &amp; Haryana High Court to recover further sum of Rs. 1350 lakhs from the sale of the surplus land appurtenant to the factory premises, without prejudice to rights and contentions of the parties. This had further been re-affirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court with modifications vide Orders dated 07-05-2012, 30-07-2012 and 01-03-2013. As per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 01-03-2013, the Company has given physical possession of a part of the property comprising of approx. 10 acres of land to Alchemist Assets Reconstruction Company Ltd. on 08-03-2013. The Appeals are pending adjudication before the Division Bench of Hon'ble Punjab &amp; Haryana High Court.</li> </ul>
	Since this was only an Interim Order and the amount is yet to be adjudicated, no provision for differential Interest has been made by the Company, nor has any effect been given in the Fixed Assets Schedule of the Accounts.
j.	Also, in compliance to the directions given by Hon'ble Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, Delhi (DRAT), the Company deposited with the Debts Recovery Tribunal - I, Delhi (DRT-I) a sum of Rs. 51.81 lakhs, which was received from the District Revenue Officer-cum-Competent Authority, Rewari as land acquisition compensation for acquisition of approx. 0.69 acres of the Company's land for widening of the Delhi-Jaipur NH-8 Highway.
	As per the direction of the Recovery Officer (RO) of DRT-1, New Delhi vide order dated 27-10-2021, the aforesaid Rs.51.81 lakhs along with accrued interest has been released and paid to AARCL.
k.	Final Arguments in Debts Recovery Tribunal-1, Delhi (DRT-I) for dues calculated on the IFCI debts were made and pronouncement of the Order was made by DRT on 18- 01-2016 for recovery of Rs. 8449.39 lakhs together with simple interest @ 13.5% p.a. The Company has filed on Appeal in the Appellate Court (DRAT) against this order, along with an Application for waiver of the pre-deposit. However, the application for waiver was dismissed by the DRAT on 12th October 2017 and consequently the appeal was dismissed by DRAT on 30th November 2017 on account of non-deposit of 75% of the amount ordered by DRT-I on 18-01-2016.
	The Company has filed a Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court, Delhi against the Dismissal Orders of the Appellate Court (DRAT) as the Company is of the view that the said Order as well as DRT's Order is not in accordance with the law and after applying the Reserve Bank of India One-Time Settlement (RBI OTS) Guidelines and after adjusting amounts already paid, physical possession of part property given and amount deposited with the DRT, the Company is of the opinion that nothing will be due and payable by the Company to the Lenders/ Assignees. On the contrary, amounts may become recoverable which claims have been filed by the Company. However, the said writ not listed as the Company pursured the matter before Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
١.	In the meanwhile, the Appeal filed by the Company before the Commissioner, Gurgaon Div. was allowed on 10-03-2016. Court held that the Assignment Deed on the basis of which AARCL filed the case in DRT-I is under-stamped to the extent of about Rs. 500 lakhs Stamp Duty.
	Against this Order of Id. Commissioner, Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (AARC) had filed Writ Petition in the Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh which granted an interim stay on the Commissioner's Order. The said writ petition was finally allowed vide order dated 04-05-2023. The Company has filed an appeal against said order which is pending before the Division Bench.
m	Pursuant to DRT-1 Judgement / Recovery Certificate dated 18-01-2016, the Recovery Officer-II of DRT-1, Delhi in February 2019 served a Notice for settling sale proclamation for the sale of the Factory Property. The Company's lawyer pointed out to the RO on 08.02.2019 that the description / area of land mentioned in the Notice is wrong and also that the Company had filed an application before the RO for keeping the proceedings in abeyance till the application filed by the Company challenging RO's orders of attachment and sale is decided by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court. The matter before the RO is still pending, awaiting adjudication of High Court appeals.
·-··	The AARCL had filed separate application Under Section 19 of RDDB Act in respect of alleged debts of IDBI, which is also pending in DRT.
n.	As per Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 7.5.2012, AARCL was supposed to share Rs.450 lakhs with KMBL out of the sale proceeds of 10.04 acres land of the Company which was stated to be sold by AARCL for Rs.1350 Lakhs. As AARCL failed to do so, KMBL filed Contempt Petition in Hon'ble Supreme Court which directed AARCL to deposit Rs.450 lakh in the Court. Finally, Hon'ble Supreme Court disposed off the contempt petition on 23.09.2019 and released said Rs.450 lakhs alongwith accrued interest to KMBL and directed parties to raise their rival contentions before the High Court.
	Tha appeals are pending before the Division Bench of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryan High Court at Chandigarh .
	In view of the fact that KMBL received Rs.650 Lakhs (Rs.200 Lakhs out of deposit made by Company in Punjab &Haryana High Court and Rs.450 Lakhs out of sale of Company's excess land from Hon'ble Supreme Court's registry), KMBL and Coventry settled the pending matter OA 2/2007 and filed a joint application before DRT-2 Delhi in this regard, which then disposed off the matter as settled vide order dated 06.02.2021. The joint application inter-alia records the stipulation: "With the receipt of entire OTS amount of Rs.650 Lakhs along with accrued interest, the Applicant Bank/KMBL is left with no further claim against the Defendant No.1". However, it was agreed that Counter-claim of Coventry will continue against other lenders / assignees. AARCL has filed an application in March 2023 in pending Company appeal in Punjab & Haryana High Court to dispose off the appeal as infructuous in view of said settlement.

ni It na SALAWAS REWARI (HR.)

3	The Company had given physical possession of Approx. 10 Acre land whose approx. cost appearing in books is Rs. 12.02 Lakhs, to Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd., assignees of IDBI & IFCI (Financial Institutions) on 8th March 2013 as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court who re-affirmed the Interim Orders of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh of 09-08-2011. The land is stated to be sold by Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. for Rs. 1350 lakhs. The company is not accounting for these as transfer as the legal proceedings are still going on, therefore necessary accounting entries and profit on transfer of land & capital gain tax could not be determined and accounted for in books of accounts.			
4	Contingent liability not provided for claims under adjudication -			
	in DRT-I, New Delhi by AARCL for recovery of the dues calculated on the IDBI Debts Rs. 9315.19 lakhs			
	(The Company has also filed counter claims of more than Rs. 50000 lakhs on AARCL and original lenders.)			
5	The Company has incurred significant operating losses, negative operating cash flow, negative working capital, adjudication of legal process against the company for loan liability and negative net worth. However the management is continuing with the operations, therefore the accounts have been prepared on basis of going concern assumption. Consequently, adjustment for amount of assets and classification of liabilities required to be recorded has not been carried out.			
6	There being virtual uncertainties of taxable income in subsequent years, hence deferred tax assets have not been created in these accounts.			
7	Figure of the previous periods have been regrouped /rearranged, wherever necessary.			
8	The business activity of the company falls within a single primary business segment viz Spring Manufacturing hence there is no other reportable segment as per IND AS 108 'Oprating Segment'.			

Place: Rewari (Haryana) Date: February 10, 2024

